

Your Title	Dr	First name or initial	Michelle	Surname	Dobrota-Gibbs
Your email address	[REDACTED]				
Your telephone number	[REDACTED]				
Your postal address and post code	[REDACTED]				
Organisation name (if relevant)	n/a				
If you are an agent acting for a client please provide the name of your client	n/a				

Your Age Range

0-18
 19-25
 26-40
 41-55
 56-70
 70 plus

In what capacity are you responding to this consultation - what most closely describes you or if you are an agent what best describes, in respect of this consultation, what your client does?

Private individual
 Environmental body
 Government department or agency
 Local authority
 Parish or town council
 Politician
 Religious body

Q 1

Yes, these are the right objectives
 No, there are further objectives or amendments I would like to add

Please give details

These objectives are not clear on what the aim or action is, or what the measurable outcome will be, so although they address relevant issues, they are not good objectives. There could be more to address flooding risk, Water and waste management.

Question 2 – The scope of the local plan

In paragraph 2.2 of the Issues and Options report we propose producing a single plan, but it would be possible to produce a series of plans to cover the different issues. Do you think we should?

Which option do you think we should take?

Option 1 - Single Plan. Produce a single local plan covering all policy matters
 Option 2 - Multiple Plans. Produce a plan that deals with strategic matters (the bigger stuff) first and then subsequent plans that deal with more local concerns and detailed matters later
 Option 3 - Other. Do an alternative or neither of the above

Please provide any further comments in the box below

This would allow for better engagement with relevant groups for the local and more specific actions. However this means that the strategic plans have got to consider local outcomes and people. You dont want a fixed strategy to dictate local plans where local needs might vary.

Q3 no comment – feel unqualified to say.

Q4

East Devon – Local Plan 2021–2040 – Issues and Options Consultation – January 2021 Questionnaire

Question 4 - Planning for health and wellbeing

In Chapter 3 of the Issues and Options report we set out the health and wellbeing benefits of active lifestyles and the ways in which planning can promote this.

How important do you think it is that we should actively promote health and wellbeing throughout our new local plan?

- Absolutely essential
- Very important
- Quite important
- Of limited importance
- Not important at all

Please provide comments below on any health and planning matters you consider to be particularly important

good green outdoor spaces for physical activity, free to access and easy to access, (so transport , free parking). ensuring good pedestrian access and safety. Community gardens and growing space where developments are close, or flats have no outside space - especially new developments. Developers must be responsible for developing a healthy environment, not just developing housing and their own profit!

Q5

Question 5 - Energy efficiency of new buildings

In paragraph 4.4 of the Issues and Options report we identify ways of reducing carbon emissions from new developments, including setting higher energy efficiency standards for new buildings. Do you think we should?

Do you think we should

- Option 1 - Plan for net-zero carbon from plan adoption.** This would require all new development to be net-zero carbon upon adoption of the plan. However, it would be at a cost and as a consequence we may see less affordable housing built and maybe fewer other social and community benefits from development
- Option 2 - Plan for net-zero carbon from a future date.** This would require all new development to achieve net zero carbon from a future date in the plan process. It could allow time for the development industry to adjust to the higher standards and may mean we can secure more affordable housing and community benefits from development
- Option 3 - Do neither of the above and not have a policy.** Under this option we could just wait for and apply any Government policy

Please provide any further comments

This is not negotiable, it is already late for action - if you leave it to the future, will there be a future?
Plan more and plan better right now, not tomorrow.

Q6

Question 6 - Provision of solar arrays/farms and windfarms

Paragraphs 4.5 to 4.7 of the Issues and Options report deals with maximising energy from renewable sources. Question 6 seeks your views on what level of support we should give.

Which option do you think we should take?

Option 1 - Identify suitable areas for renewable energy developments

Option 2 - Not identify areas but offer general support

Option 3 - Take a restrictive approach to renewables

Option 4 - None of these options

Please provide any further comments on wind farms and solar arrays/panels

I cant believe you are even asking. but i'm not sure this goes far enough. if all you do is identify suitable areas, we are doomed. this needs plans and action and support for renewables, insisting on developments using their roof spaces, and ground spaces if appropriate. it means supporting the little man, and well as the bigger solar fields etc. Every household with an appropriate roof could be solar, if the support was there. Most people cant afford to replace their heating or retrofit, or have a solar battery system installed, but roof panels could be added, and new builds should all have green credentials, so it needs to be in the planning. Now.]

Q7

Question 7 - Carbon saving measures

Paragraph 4.8 of the Issues and Options report sets out seven policy objectives for helping to achieve carbon neutrality including using 'waste heat', and promoting community led renewable energy schemes.

Do you think we have identified the appropriate carbon saving and related policy matters to be addressed in a new local plan and are there any other policy areas that you think we should be considering?

Yes, the appropriate carbon saving policy matters have been addressed

No, there are other policy areas that should be considered

Please give details

More needs to be done, in the planning to ensure that developments are greener, that developers MUST meet the highest eco/green standards, they cannot be allowed to wimp out and say it isnt feasible or cost effective - they make enough profit, and 'green' must be factored in. Developers will always try to squeeze out more profit, and the cost of making a development more green and sustainable should not be adding to their profit, so when planning it this part of the cost should really be not for profit. if they dont demonstrate that they are supporting the environment they dont get the contract! This is about quality of environment, not about squeezing in more housing

Question 8 - How many new homes should we plan for each year?

In Chapter 5 of the Issues and Options report we set out the current Government requirement for us to build at least 928 new homes a year (although this figure can change). Paragraph 5.4 explains that we would need to almost double the number of affordable homes (to 461 every year for the next 20 years) to meet current and future needs. Do you think we should?

Which option do you think we should take?

- Option 1 - Plan for an average of at least 928 new homes being built each year – This would accommodate levels of house building that accord with current Government requirements. It may mean, however, that we fall a long way short of meeting all affordable housing needs.
- Option 2 - Plan for a greater number of homes, for example 1,200 – this may meet more of the affordable housing need but not all of it.
- Option 3 - Plan to build considerably more homes each year - A figure of 1,614 homes would align with Governments previous thinking and would potentially result in all affordable housing needs being met.
- Option 4 - Select option 4 if you think none of the above are appropriate

Please provide any further comments and justification in the box below, especially if you think a different number of new homes being built each year is appropriate.

I may be being naive, but how about prioritizing the affordable housing as a larger percentage of the total. that way you meet the affordable housing needs, and as affordable housing tends to be smaller, you get more built. just remeber green credentials and green spaces too!

Q9

Paragraphs 5.5 to 5.7 of the Issues and Options report sets out Government policy that at least 10% of new homes should be built on smaller sites (below 1 hectare). This provides more opportunities for smaller, local businesses and is more likely to reflect local character than mass produced homes. Do you think we should?

Which option do you think we should take?

- Option 1 - Allocate or identify land for around 10% of homes to be on small sites - this approach would meet minimum government standards.
- Option 2 - Allocate or identify land for around 11% to 25% of homes to be on small sites - this approach would be higher than Government minimum standards.
- Option 3 - Allocate or identify land for 26% to 50% of homes to be on small sites - this approach would be substantially higher than Government minimum standards.
- Option 4 - Allocate or identify land for 51% or more of homes to be on small sites - with such a high figure this approach could fundamentally change the nature of house building in East Devon.
- Option 5 - None of the above or an alternative

Please provide any further comments in the box below

I am not sure that we (the public) can really understand all of the consequences of this, but it seems logical to use local businesses and self builds where possible - good for local economy. and small developments are more likely to be nice spaces, creating smaller communities, where people know each other.
Always aim high. I guess there is something to be said for setting achievable goals, and not setting yourself up for failure, but never the less - aim for the best you can

Question 10 - Planning for housing for people at all stages of their life

Paragraphs 5.8 and 5.9 of the Issues and Options report sets out the reasons for building a range of homes that can accommodate different circumstances. What approach should we take to encourage this, or is it not something the local plan should deal with?

Which option do you think we should take?

- Option 1 - We should explicitly require housing provision for people at all stages of life. This approach could place demanding standards on developers to provide housing for all stages of people lives. It could though reduce monies available to pay for other wider community benefits from development.
- Option 2 - We should encourage but not require housing provision for people at all stages of life. Under this approach we could encourage and support such housing but be less demanding on specific standards to be met.
- Option 3 - Not set standards for differing types of housing provision. This approach would leave developers to determining the types of houses that should be built rather than policy seeking to require specific outcomes
- Option 4 - None of the above or an alternative

Please provide any further comments in the box below on how we may best plan for housing for all.

Please do place demanding standards on developers, it is about time demanding standards were set on them, just dont let them weadle out of it.
Why does setting standard for developers reduce monies elsewhere....

Question 11 - Additional housing policy objectives

Paragraph 5.10 of the Issues and Options report identifies nine additional housing policy objectives, including encouraging more self-build homes, allocating sites for retirement housing and setting minimum space standards for new homes.

Do you think these are appropriate housing policy areas to be addressed in a new local plan and are there any other major policy areas that you think we should be addressing?

- Yes, these are appropriate housing policy areas to be addressed
- No, there are other major policy areas that should be addressed

Please give details

Regarding minimum room sizes - yes i agree, but also think there should be guidance of preferred i.e. larger room sizes too, or the developers will just make all the room a small as they are allowed to! And if there is one bedroom of the minimum size, it cannot be the only one and other rooms must be larger, so that they dont make unusable housing. Small spaces can be workasble, but they need clever design and storage solutions, and good lighting, so room size alone is incomplete.

Question 12 - Preference for location for future job provision

Paragraphs 6.4 to 6.7 of the Issues and Options report explains why much recent employment development has occurred near to Exeter and where future job growth would best be located. We set out below a number of differing potential areas in East Devon that could accommodate future job growth and development. Please indicate your in principle levels of support for each option

12a - Continued focus on big employment sites in the West End of the District	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input type="radio"/> Support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
--	---

12b - Encouraging greater business development in other areas close to Exeter (for example expanding Greendale and Hill Barton Business Parks)	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
---	---

12c - Encouraging greater business development at and within the main towns of East Devon	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
--	---

12d - Encouraging business expansion and development in the villages and across the countryside of East Devon	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
--	---

12e - Encourage and enable more home working	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly support <input type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
---	---

If you have any comments on the above or alternative options please set these out below.

Better broadband provision and mobile signal for home working -

Question 13 - Differing jobs sectors in East Devon

Paragraphs 6.8 to 6.10 of the Issues and Options report discuss what sort of jobs the local plan should encourage, including the opportunities to attract new and emerging sectors with highly skilled jobs. To what degree do you support, or not, the following approaches to future jobs or differing types of jobs?

13a - More jobs overall – with an emphasis on attracting new/larger employers and inward investment in any or all sectors

- Strongly support
 Support
 Neither oppose nor support
 Oppose
 Strongly oppose

13b - More high technology jobs - focussing on high technology and high skilled jobs (including in the transformational sectors).

- Strongly support
 Support
 Neither oppose nor support
 Oppose
 Strongly oppose

13c - Local Entrepreneurs – promoting opportunities for more home/locally based/small businesses.

- Strongly support
 Support
 Neither oppose nor support
 Oppose
 Strongly oppose

13c - Local Entrepreneurs – promoting opportunities for more home/locally based/small businesses.

- Strongly support
 Support
 Neither oppose nor support
 Oppose
 Strongly oppose

13d - Traditional Sectors - Focus on growth, resilience and diversification in our traditional sectors - such as agriculture, tourism and care

- Strongly support
 Support
 Neither oppose nor support
 Oppose
 Strongly oppose

If you have any comments on the above or alternative options please set these out below.

If the last year has shown us anything it is that big local employers are not resilient, that entrepreneurs offer potential for future resilience, but freelancers are vulnerable, that the ability to work at home has unseen benefits and problems, needing support, and that we need health, wellbeing and Care professions.

Q14

Question 14 - Additional economic policy objectives

Paragraph 6.11 of the Issues and Options report identifies six areas where policies could be developed to help support the economy. These include promoting jobs close to where people live, encouraging people to patronise local businesses, supporting shared workspaces, allocating additional employment sites and links between economic development and developing a greener economy.

Do you think these are appropriate economic policy areas to be addressed in a new local plan and are there any other major policy areas that you think we should be addressing?

- Yes, these are the appropriate economic policy areas
- No, I think there are other policy areas that should be addressed

Please give details

Consider that town centres are shrinking and have empty sites - so how could these best be used to improve the diversity of businesses in towns, while still supporting the community, which businesses could utilize these and add to the town offering, or ease access to facilities that might otherwise be out of town. I am thinking about small business support, health and wellbeing, or learning opportunities, skill centres. Spaces where workshops and courses could be run, by local people. For exaple public health courses - diet and nutrition workshops, cooking skills, art skill, technology etc. This could provide gret community support spaces, and provide space for developing businesses to

Spaces where workshops and courses could be run, by local people. For exaple public health courses - diet and nutrition workshops, cooking skills, art skill, technology etc. This could provide gret community support spaces, and provide space for developing businesses to reach their target group, and community to access new skills. Getting people into the town s may have a knock on effect on other retail business

Q15

Question 15 - Town centre uses

Chapter 7 of the Issues and Options report considers options for the roles of our town centres. Question 15 seeks your views on these options. We set out below a number of differing potential uses and approaches to promoting town centre vitality and activity. Please indicate your in principle levels of support for each potential option or approach.

15a - Retail to dominate – support retail uses in town centres and if possible resist other uses.	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
15b - Promote mixed commercial uses - support retail as well as other commercial uses such as café's and offices	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
15c - Redefine town centres to smaller core areas – concentration on retail and commercial uses in the core and allowing much greater flexibility for other uses in peripheral areas	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
15d - Support change of use to housing – allow for any shops or commercial premises to be converted to housing	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
15e - Support community uses - promote more community spaces in town centres	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose
15f - Leisure uses – promote new gyms and sports facilities in town centres.	<input type="radio"/> Strongly support <input checked="" type="radio"/> Support <input type="radio"/> Neither oppose nor support <input type="radio"/> Oppose <input type="radio"/> Strongly oppose

If you have any comments on the above or other distribution matters please set these out below

Although it is important to have some flexibility to prevent town centres dying and becoming 'ghost' towns, we need to offer opportunities for wider activities, community spaces, alternative business models, and some diversity in the town-centre offering, making them appealing spaces to visit for reasons other than retail, and offering supportive spaces for small business and freelancers. I used to find it frustrating that there were no consultation spaces available, a professional office to use for a day or a hour even. Supporting small business this way with a business hub space would be great and town centres could offer that, as could the periphery. Also access and parking need to be

with a business hub space would be great and town centres could offer that, as could the periphery. Also access and parking need to be better and cheaper if you want people to use the town centres. If there is less demand for retail due to online shopping then perhaps the business rates need to be more supportive.]

Question 16 - Additional town centre policy objectives

Paragraph 7.6 of the Issues and Options report identifies three additional area where policies may be appropriate including the use of vacant stories over shops, resisting 'out of town' uses to support town centres and producing town centre masterplans to identify key areas for improvement.

Do you think these are appropriate retail and town centre policy areas to be addressed in a new local plan and are there any other major policy areas that you think we should be addressing?

- Yes, these are appropriate policy areas
- No, there are other major policy areas that should be addressed

Please give details

See comment to 15f - they may be appropriate here, re retail and spaces being used for diversity and small business support.

Q17

Question 17 - Designing beautiful spaces and buildings

Chapter 8 of the Issues and Options report explains how attractive places can be planned and Question 17 seeks to find out how important you think it is that this is done.

How important do you think it is that we should actively plan to create beautiful spaces and buildings?

- Absolutely essential
- Very important
- Quite important
- Of limited importance
- Not important at all

Please provide comments below on design matters you consider to be particularly important. We would be especially interested in your views on whether we should include design codes in our future local plan for each allocated development site or whether we should make it simpler and just have general guidance.

Creating towns with character and design, with innovation and beauty gives a legacy, and heritage for the future, and an interesting and attractive place to be and work, and visit - its a win, win for me.
Creating a dull, boring space that looks dated and uninteresting will not attract anything good, and will have no lasting benefit or legacy.

Q18

Question 18 - Additional design policy objectives

Paragraph 8.8 of the Issues and Options report identifies two areas with the potential for additional policy work. These are encouraging innovative designs and incorporating wildlife friendly elements into developments.

Do you think these are appropriate design policy areas to be addressed in a new local plan and are there any other major policy areas that you think we should be addressing?

- Yes, these are appropriate housing policy areas
- No, there are other major policy areas that should be addressed

Please give details

Just be careful, one mans innovative is another man's jarring, and biuld materials must still consider environmental impactand eco credentials, modern design challenges are about so much more than beauty.

Q19

Question 19 - The importance of conserving and enhancing heritage assets

Chapter 9 of the Issues and Options describes our heritage assets and sets out the positive impacts they can have on our quality of life and local economies.

Through a new local plan (and in the work of the council more generally) how important do you think it is that we should conserve and enhance our heritage assets?

- Absolutely essential
- Very important
- Quite important
- Not really of any importance

Please provide any further comments on heritage asset conservation and enhancement below including on any specific matters you think a new local plan should address.

Heritage brings tourism and business, so must be maintained, and perhaps there are opportunities here to support new ideas that are compatible with existing heritage, small businesses that can collaborate with existing heritage.
Also we have amazing countryside that could be a useful tool is wellbeing programmes, if there were funding for mental and physical health activities.

Question 20 - Development in protected landscapes

Paragraphs 10.3 & 10.4 of the Issues and Options report sets out the importance of protecting our protected landscapes and the potential limitations this may place on how much and where development should be placed. Question 20 seeks views on the levels of restriction you favour.

In considering whether we should allow for development in protected landscapes do you think

- Option 1 - Place significant restrictions on development. This approach would apply very tight constraints on development. However, it could mean more development pressure elsewhere in East Devon.
- Option 2 - Allow for development to meet local needs. This would allow for limited development, specifically where it will meet smaller scale local social, housing or economic needs, it could still mean more development pressure elsewhere in East Devon.
- Option 3 - Allow for greater levels of development. This approach would allow for much more development in protected landscapes, in so doing it could however reduce the need to build elsewhere.
- Option 4 - None of the above or an alternative

Please provide any further comments in the box below

Protected landscapes are protected, so no you cant build on them. think again.

I can see a scenario where a protected lanscape is a wasteland, but you need to consider the wildlife and heritage implications. if there really is no reason for a piece of land being protected, then there may be a case for lifting that protection, however we cannot lose the overall

is no reason for a piece of land being protected, then there may be a case for lifting that protection, however we cannot lose the overall protected space, so any sace where the protected is lifted for the purposes of development should only occur where there is no environment or wildlife concern, AND an equal space may be offered a protected status in its place. This needs careful consideration to ensure it is not abused by development for profit. |

Q21

Question 21 - Net gains in biodiversity

Paragraphs 10.5 to 10.7 of the Issues and Options report explains the new approach of quantifying the potential impact of development on biodiversity to inform planning decisions and ensure that there is a 'net gain'. Question 21 seeks views on the approach you would favour to gain biodiversity improvements.

In order to gain biodiversity improvements which option would you prefer?

- Option 1 - On-site provision
- Option 2 - Secure the habitats locally
- Option 3 - Pay a cash tariff towards a strategic delivery scheme
- Option 4 - A combination of the above
- Option 5 - None of the above or an alternative

Please provide any further comments in the box below

Great to see the level to which the goveremnt and the plan seeks to protect teh environment.
I can see benefits in 1 and 2. but paying a cash tarriff is a slippery slope, the environment needs protection, and cash might not be the best way to do it.

Question 22 - Additional natural environment policy objectives

Paragraph 10.8 of the Issues and Options report identifies 10 additional themes for the natural environment that may benefit from policies in the local plan.

Do you think these are appropriate natural environment policy issues to be addressed in a new local plan and are there any other major policy areas that you think we should be addressing?

- Yes, these are the appropriate natural environment policy issues
- No, there are other major policy areas that should be addressed

Please give details

Q23

Question 23 - Promoting accessibility by walking and cycling

Chapter 11 of the Issues and Options report sets out the issues for promoting sustainable transport. Question 23 seeks your views on how important you think it is that new development is sited so that it is within walking distance of services and facilities.

In order to promote walking and cycling and ensure that facilities are accessible we could adopt the possible approaches detailed below, which one would you favour?

- Option 1 - Focus new development as small clusters of growth in locations within easy walking or cycling distance of existing services and facilities - This will limit the potential location of new development and will require existing facilities and services to have the capacity to cope with extra customers/users
- Option 2 - Focus new development on a small number of growth areas where the large scale of development will support the delivery of new accessible services and facilities - This may require very high levels of development to support facilities, which may overwhelm a small settlement.
- Option 3 - A combination of options 1 and 2 – This will enable some growth where existing facilities and services are accessible and have capacity with the remainder focused on growth areas to be developed with new services and facilities.
- Option 4 - Do not require facilities and services to be within walking or cycling distance of new development but require them to be available within the settlement - This option would not require access by foot or cycle to facilities and services but would require them to be available locally. It may however encourage car use.
- Option 5 - None of the above - Perhaps you think that accessibility by walking or cycling is not a relevant concern?

Please provide any further comments in the box below

Q24

Question 24 - Additional sustainable transport policy objectives

Paragraph 11.7 of the Issues and Options report identifies 13 key issues that may need to be considered around the theme of sustainable transport.

Do you think these are appropriate sustainable transport policy areas to be addressed in a new local plan and are there any other major policy areas that you think we should be addressing?

- Yes, these are appropriate sustainable transport policy areas
- No, there are other major policy areas that should be addressed

Please give details

Yes, there is a good range of policies here.

Question 25 - Facilities and services that are important

Chapter 12 of the Issues and Options report deals with infrastructure requirements and asks what facilities are important to people to help us prioritise what may be needed and where. Please score the services and facilities listed below in accordance with their importance to where you live or would want to live

Please score the services and facilities listed below in accordance with their Importance to where you live or would want to live?	Please tick one box only for each item			
	Essential	Very Important	Quite Important	Of little Importance
Convenience store/Post Office	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Supermarket	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Childcare and nursery school provision	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Primary school	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Secondary school	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Children's play area	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sports & leisure facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Health care facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Open spaces	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Easy access to a range of jobs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sports & leisure facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Health care facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Open spaces	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Easy access to a range of jobs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Regular bus service (5 plus per day)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Train station	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Paths for walking and cycling	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Access to full fibre broadband	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public house	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Place of worship (e.g. a church)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
High quality road links	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Emergency services (police, fire, ambulance)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community hall	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Youth facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you have any other comments or would identify other facilities please set these out below.				

Q26

Question 26 - Additional infrastructure and service provision policy objectives

Paragraph 12.9 of the Issues and Options report identifies five other infrastructure and service policy objectives.

Do you think these are appropriate infrastructure and service provision policy areas to be addressed in a new local plan and are there any other major policy areas that you think we should be addressing?

- Yes, these are appropriate policy areas to be addressed
- No, there are other major policy areas that should be addressed

Please give details

I cant think of anymore as I am getting questionnaire fatigue...

Q27

Question 27 - Retaining and refining the existing settlement hierarchy

Chapter 13 of the Issues and Options report considers strategic options for the distribution of new development expressed in terms of a settlement hierarchy. Question 27 seeks your views on what your preferred pattern of development would be.

Which of the following options do you prefer for a potential settlement hierarchy?

- Option 1** - Same hierarchy as current local plan: 7 Towns plus Cranbrook and the 15 Villages
- Option 2** - A hierarchy that retains the towns and Cranbrook but has a lower number of villages that may accommodate development
- Option 3** - A hierarchy that retains towns and Cranbrook but has a higher number of villages that may accommodate development
- Option 4** - Do something different in terms of a hierarchy or not have one

Please provide any comments below on the potential hierarchy, especially if you do not support the listed options.

This is complex and I'm not confident I am qualified to comment. My feeling is that Cranbrook could become too large and overrun the villages and hamlets nearby, thus losing their individuality. So there must be a limit where the development stops to allow other villages to hold their ground. I think it is a shame that the villages might get less investment, but also would want them to be overly developed, so I'm stuck between a rock and a hard place! Where there is development for the west end or towns the infrastructure and environment need to be considered too, of course.

Question 28 - Broad distribution of housing development

Paragraphs 13.12 to 13.14 of the Issues and Options report considers the strategic spatial options for accommodating housing growth. Question 28 asks which broad approach to the distribution of housing development you favour.

Which broad approach to the distribution of housing development would you favour in a new local plan?

- Option 1 - As existing.** Continue with a distribution pattern of planned new development that is in line with the current local plan – for 60% of new homes at the West End, 30% in Towns and 10% in Villages and rural areas
- Option 2 - More West End focused.** This approach would focus more of the future new house building close to Exeter, perhaps as much as 75%, with the remaining 25% being in town and villages (for example 20% in towns and 5% in villages).
- Option 3 - A less West End focused pattern.** This approach would seek to accommodate far less development close to Exeter, maybe as little as 20% of future new homes, with the bulk of new housing, 80% of provision, being dispersed across towns and villages (for example 50% in towns and 30% in villages and rural areas).
- Option 4 - An alternative to the above.** You may consider that there are different ways or approaches to look at development distribution (if so we would welcome your thoughts and comments)

Please provide any comments on the above in the space below

I dont know.
Perhaps smaller development spread across the region. I hate the sprawling housing developments of Cranbrook, it is too big.

Q29

Question 29 - Future options for the type and location of development

Paragraphs 13.15 & 13.16 of the Issues and Options report sets out a range of option for accommodating development. Which do you prefer?

How do you feel about the development types and locations listed below	For each option please tick one box only					
	Strongly support	Support	Neither oppose or support	Oppose	Strongly oppose	None of the options
Infilling in towns and at larger villages	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Building one or more additional new towns	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Planning for new villages	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
large scale (over 50 home) urban expansions to existing towns	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
small scale (under 50 home) urban expansions to towns	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Building houses on the edges of East Devon villages	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do you have any further observations on the alternative development options and approaches? Please provide comments below						

Q30

Question 30 - Establishment of a Development Corporation

Paragraphs 13.17 to 13.19 of the Issues and Options report explain the role that a development corporation could plan in delivering very large scale developments. Question 30 asks for view on how important you consider this would be.

If one or more big strategic sites for housing or mixed use development are allocated in the new East Devon local plan how important do you think the creation of a Development Corporation would be?

- Absolutely essential
- Very important
- Quite important
- Of limited importance
- Not important at all
- It would be a bad thing

Please provide any further comments below

Could be a good thing - to take responsibility for the holistic effects of development, and not leave a burden on residents!

Question 31 - Planning for development beyond 2040

Paragraph 13.20 of the Issues and Options report considers the timeframe that the local plan should consider.

Do you consider that it would be appropriate to start to plan for development in East Devon for a date well beyond 2040 in this Local Plan?

- Yes it would be very sensible whether a new town is proposed or not
- Sensible only if a new town is proposed
- It does not really matter what end date is set
- It would be undesirable and the end date should be 2040
- None of these options

Please provide any further comments below

Always good to plan ahead, but not to lose the focus on current needs.

Question 32 - And finally...?

Are there any big planning issues that you think we have missed in this report or are there any further comments you would like to make?

The questionnaire has been quite a lot of work. I can see that few people will actually take the time to complete it in great detail. The type of people who do may not reflect the population, particularly the young, the older, and the vulnerable.

It takes a fair amount of intellectual capacity and some understanding of the planning to do it justice. So you might get a more balanced or representative response with greater outreach to community groups. Did you do any group work? approaching local business groups, community groups etc or offer discussion via focus groups to get opinions.

I may have started off a bit negative, but having done it, I can see there is a lot of work here, and good planning strategy. The concerns and protection or environment and communities is clear, so well Done.

END.