

This file has been cleaned of potential threats.

If you confirm that the file is coming from a trusted source, you can send the following SHA-256 hash value to your admin for the original file.

88f938349fa8081feb1421cd7041573eaae7f885b33e72e98e1faf3767155746

To view the reconstructed contents, please SCROLL DOWN to next page.

Environmental Report for the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the East Devon National Landscape Management Plan 2025-2030 consultation summary report

Contents

Environmental Report for the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the East Devon National Landscape Management Plan 2025-2030 consultation summary document 1

1. Responses from East Devon National Landscape and EDDC response.....	1
2. Key findings:.....	12
3. Recommendations:.....	13

1. Responses from East Devon National Landscape and EDDC response

1.1 We received 42 comments in total on the draft Environmental Report for the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the East Devon National Landscape Management Plan 2025-2030 public consultation. The responses below are from East Devon National Landscape and East Devon District Council. All responses are anonymous, the responses are dated with when the first comment was made by the consultee/resident, with the earliest comment being first in the list. Consultee comments are not made public for privacy reasons. All respondents who allowed response via commonplace have been replied to on commonplace. If you are unable to find the response to your comment in the list or would like your response emailed to you personally. Please email engagement@eastdevon.gov.uk

East Devon National Landscape and EDDC response	Consultee comment and submission date
Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.	2025-05-09T11:21:38.379Z The respondent did not leave a free text comment.
Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. This environmental report is a mandatory part of the Management Plan process to comply with EU and UK legislation. We would like to thank you for taking the time to	2025-05-09T16:32:59.287Z The respondent questioned the focus on the European Parliament directive, suggesting that other local issues

<p>respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>may be a higher priority for East Devon.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-09T17:21:01.053Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-09T20:04:26.716Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-09T20:08:19.343Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-10T06:30:51.517Z</p> <p>The respondent did not agree with chapter 4,5 6 and 7</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-10T08:25:51.606Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>The Management Plan provides a framework for the next 5 years. The annual delivery plan identifies the timeline and specifics about who will deliver certain aspects.</p> <p>Re. CH2 – this has been corrected.</p> <p>Re. RE1 – any new renewable energy schemes will require an EIA as part of the planning process.</p>	<p>2025-05-10T09:56:39.098Z</p> <p>The respondent suggested clearer definitions, stronger requirements for Environmental Impact Assessments across a range of policies, and improved consideration of heritage alongside environmental objectives. They felt some terms and wording were unclear or incomplete, and</p>

<p>Re. NZ1 – the Climate Adaption Plan is a key document that the UK government requires protected landscapes to develop, therefore the name is fixed according to the government definition.</p> <p>Re. SP1 – this has been acknowledged in the recommendations and implications of the policy.</p> <p>Re. TO1 – the determination of whether an EIA is needed is based on a screening process that considers the project's characteristics, location, and potential impacts. Projects listed in Schedule 1 of the EIA Regulations always require an EIA, while those in Schedule 2 are assessed on a case-by-case basis.</p> <p>Re. P1 - The statutory purpose of the National Landscape is to conserve and enhance the natural beauty of the landscape. This combined with the new LURA duties placed on Local Authorities ensures they must now 'seek to further the conservation and enhancement of the National Landscape'. These duties, in combination with other policies will ensure that any new development must account for, and enhance all factors of natural beauty.</p> <p>Re. T1 - the determination of whether an EIA is needed is based on a screening process that considers the project's characteristics, location, and potential impacts. Projects listed in Schedule 1 of the EIA Regulations always require an EIA, while those in Schedule 2 are assessed on a case-by-case basis.</p> <p>Re. CC1 – this has been noted and corrected.</p> <p>Re. CEA2 – this is an integral part of the education and raising awareness of the National Landscape.</p> <p>Re. M1 – public bodies are required to work together to leverage greater funding opportunities for protected landscapes. EDNL works closely with various businesses, community groups and landowners to provide support on a regular basis.</p> <p>We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>recommended greater collaboration with landowners, businesses and community groups. They also raised concerns that the plan does not fully address who is responsible for actions or when they will be delivered.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from</p>	<p>2025-05-11T08:55:53.839Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>

<p>varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope. All policies have been approved by the statutory consultees representing a wide range of interests as part of the consultation process.</p> <p>We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-12T13:43:29.159Z</p> <p>The respondent questioned aspects of the Strategic Environmental Assessment, including whether some policies align with the CRoW Act 2000's primary purpose of conserving and enhancing natural beauty. They raised concerns about policy wording, the interpretation of key purposes, the age of the guidance used, and the roles of organisations involved in the assessment. They also felt that some policies, such as those promoting growth, did not reflect the Act's intent, and highlighted specific issues relating to site Exmo_17, accessibility, and the need to consider impacts beyond the National Landscape boundary.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-13T18:31:55.713Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-14T12:46:21.538Z</p> <p>The respondent opposed the inclusion of policies supporting renewable energy developments such as solar and wind farms, expressing concern about their impact on the East Devon landscape. They questioned the focus on reducing CO₂ emissions and suggested prioritising action on issues such as pollution, litter, fly tipping and bio-crops instead.</p>

<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-14T13:09:43.576Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>We are sorry you have found this process confusing. You may notice that the assessment uses technical terminology. This level of detail is a mandatory part of the management-plan process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both the Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment must follow prescribed legal tests and definitions set out in EU and UK legislation. • Screening decisions depend on precise habitat classifications, species sensitivity criteria and impact pathways, all of which are defined in regulation rather than everyday language. • Consistent use of this terminology ensures the assessment can be checked, compared and audited by statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. <p>All feedback will be used to refine our signposting and explanatory notes, while preserving the necessary statutory format of the assessment.</p> <p>We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-14T13:25:11.480Z</p> <p>The respondent suggested the report could be presented in a more public-friendly format to encourage wider engagement.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope.</p> <p>We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-14T15:29:12.742Z</p> <p>The respondent strongly disagreed with the conclusion that the Management Plan policies would not cause significant adverse environmental effects. They expressed concern that proposed housing and industrial development would harm habitats, biodiversity, and the natural beauty of the National Landscape, as well as worsen traffic, sewage, and local infrastructure pressures. They felt the report's</p>

	<p>scope and methodology overlooked local residents' views in favour of larger organisations, and questioned who would benefit financially from such developments.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-15T03:41:08.545Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-16T14:45:10.848Z</p> <p>The respondent objected to development on National Landscape land, stating it is incompatible with the area's protection and natural beauty. They felt the term "sustainable" is often used without clear definition and can be misapplied to developments that harm the landscape. Concerns were raised about the impact of site Exmo_17 on biodiversity, views, the existing cycle path, and road safety. They supported increased public engagement, biodiversity conservation, and collaboration with other organisations to protect National Landscapes. The respondent also opposed the renaming of Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, suggesting the new term may be misleading.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-23T14:06:41.555Z</p> <p>The respondent suggested the document could be made more user-friendly and straightforward, with clearer detail to avoid confusion. They also recommended seeking input from organisations such as the RSPB and emphasised the value of</p>

	protecting local wildlife, including otters and weasels.
Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.	2025-05-26T20:31:56.675Z The respondent did not leave a free text comment.
Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.	2025-05-27T06:54:51.873Z The respondent did not leave a free text comment.
Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.	2025-05-27T06:58:04.718Z The respondent expressed concern that further housing development would harm East Devon's natural beauty, tourism appeal, and local habitats. They felt there are already enough houses in the area and emphasised the importance of protecting the environment and people's wellbeing.
Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.	2025-05-27T07:02:29.438Z The respondent noted the involvement of Clinton Devon Estates as a partner in the Landscape Management Scheme and suggested care should be taken to avoid any potential conflicts of interest.
Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.	2025-05-27T08:24:23.510Z The respondent did not leave a free text comment.
Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.	2025-05-27T14:15:49.018Z

<p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>The respondent felt that areas of outstanding natural beauty should be protected from development.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-27T16:59:09.684Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-27T17:02:00.492Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-28T06:50:34.923Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-05-28T18:59:58.281Z</p> <p>The respondent opposed development near the landscape, highlighting concerns about tourism, sewage infrastructure, biodiversity, and the disruption of wildlife corridors. They urged that decisions take account of the climate crisis and the needs of future generations.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from</p>	<p>2025-05-29T15:38:45.165Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>

<p>varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-02T12:43:39.649Z</p> <p>The respondent suggested the plan could place greater emphasis on addressing the climate crisis.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-03T11:15:16.324Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-05T08:32:23.008Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-07T18:02:07.072Z</p> <p>The respondent suggested that increasing public access to the National Landscape should be a stronger objective within the plan. They felt that encouraging access by non-motorised means would help build public support for preservation, strengthen environmental outcomes, and align with sustainable living and active travel goals.</p>
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-09T05:07:04.512Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>We are sorry you have found this process confusing. You may notice that the assessment uses technical terminology. This</p>	<p>2025-06-09T18:08:42.033Z</p> <p>The respondent suggested the process could be made simpler and easier to follow.</p>

<p>level of detail is a mandatory part of the management-plan process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both the Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment must follow prescribed legal tests and definitions set out in EU and UK legislation. • Screening decisions depend on precise habitat classifications, species sensitivity criteria and impact pathways, all of which are defined in regulation rather than everyday language. • Consistent use of this terminology ensures the assessment can be checked, compared and audited by statutory consultees and neighbouring authorities. <p>All feedback will be used to refine our signposting and explanatory notes, while preserving the necessary statutory format of the assessment.</p> <p>We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	
<p>Thank you for your feedback - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-10T13:20:13.876Z</p> <p>The respondent did not leave a free text comment.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties.</p> <p>We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-10T13:20:48.096Z</p> <p>The respondent did not support housing or industrial development within the East Devon National Landscape.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope.</p>	<p>2025-06-12T14:36:44.695Z</p> <p>The respondent opposed development on site Exmo_17, expressing concern that it would harm the landscape, damage tourism, and set a precedent for further loss of National Landscape around coastal</p>

<p>We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>towns. They emphasised the importance of protecting the area for the wellbeing of current and future generations.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-12T14:23:35.086Z</p> <p>The respondent suggested the plan could give greater emphasis to the impacts of climate change, with clearer objectives on habitats, species, and water management. They recommended including climate change as a factor influencing the Management Plan, monitoring its effects, and more fully integrating it into objectives and appraisals.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-13T09:22:58.765Z</p> <p>The respondent raised concerns about site EXMO-20, highlighting potential harmful impacts on the Pebblebed Heaths Special Protection Area and the pressures of placing around 1,800 residents next to Budleigh Salterton, a small conservation town. They noted a lack of consultation with the Town Council and the National Landscape on these issues and felt this conflicts with local and regional climate strategies. While supportive of the Management Plan's aims, they stressed the need for clear accountability, measurable outcomes, and stronger collaboration between EDDC, Clinton Devon Estates, the National Landscape, local councils, and community groups to ensure housing pressures do not undermine environmental protection.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p>	<p>2025-06-13T09:43:07.262Z</p>

<p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>The respondent supported the aim to restore nature and reverse biodiversity loss but stressed the importance of also recognising the character and beauty of the wider countryside, including areas outside the National Landscape. They expressed concern that proposed housing sites near Seaton could harm important views and conflict with National Landscape policies. They emphasised that the Local Plan should provide real protection for the landscape and be deliverable in practice, not just aspirational.</p>
<p>Thank you for your comments - they have been duly considered and shared with the team and other relevant departments.</p> <p>Planning strategy and policy are not within our remit, nor within our service area, so is outside the scope of this Plan and report. However, we will continue to work with the relevant service area to ensure adherence to the new LURA duties. Specific planning applications are also outside the scope. We would like to thank you for taking the time to respond and we encourage you to continue to do so in the future - input from varied experiences improve our work and reflect the District's priorities.</p>	<p>2025-06-13T13:20:05.501Z</p> <p>The respondent opposed the proposed development at Exmo_17, stating it would undermine the protections for National Landscapes and harm biodiversity, habitats, air, water, soil, and climate. They also raised concerns about impacts on human health, local demographics, and the character of the area. They felt any short-term financial gains would benefit developers rather than the community and warned that continued development could erode the area's natural beauty, damaging Exmouth's long-term appeal to visitors.</p>

2. Key findings:

2.1 Language and terminology at times confused members of the public. As stated, the format and language of the SEA must follow prescribed legal tests and definitions set out in EU and UK legislation, so that the statutory consultees can review the information and make the best screening decisions. However, we will take these learnings and seek to improve our own communications around the reasoning for this technical language in future consultations, whilst preserving the mandatory format of the technical document.

3. Recommendations:

3.1 The feedback, where relevant to the report, was positive. A proportion of the comments received were in relation to service areas outside of our control and not within the remit of this report. These comments will be fed back to the relevant service area within East Devon District Council. All statutory consultees have reviewed the revised draft and approved the report. Consultation responses support proceeding to adoption of the Environmental Report for the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the East Devon National Landscape Management Plan 2025-2030. The next report will be in 2030 for the next Management Plan spanning 2030-2035.